



November 27, 2023

This report does not constitute a rating action.

## Credit Highlights

### Overview

| Credit context and assumptions   | Base-case expectations   |  |
|--|--|--|
| Sweden's extremely predictable and supportive institutional framework supports our ratings on Uppsala.                                 | Operating budgetary performance is set to weaken on<br>the back of high inflation and large temporary costs in<br>preparation for tram investments.  |  |
| The resilient Swedish economy, and strong local<br>fundamentals, support Uppsala's tax revenue growth.                                 | S&P Global Ratings estimates the city's capex will<br>remain stable through 2025, driven by investments in<br>school properties, resulting in moderate deficits after<br>capital accounts. |  |
| Strong budget discipline offsets risks from rising spending amid macroeconomic challenges and large capital expenditure (capex) needs. | <ul> <li>Although debt in nominal terms is set to increase, v<br/>expect the city's debt burden in relation to revenue v<br/>remain stable.</li> </ul>                                     |  |

Large infrastructure-related expenses will weigh on the City of Uppsala's operating performance. Before the large public transportation project can start, Uppsala needs to undertake preparatory works, including moving pipes and cables in the ground. Since the city itself does not own these assets directly, it needs to compensate the owners, including private companies and subsidiaries of the city, for the related damage. We estimate these costs will weigh on the city's operating performance through 2026.

Capex remains contained due to lower-than-projected population growth and high inflation. Consequently, we forecast Uppsala's, including its companies', capex through 2025 will remain fairly in line with the previous two years, driven primarily by school property investments carried out by fully owned subsidiary Uppsala Kommun Skolfastigheter AB (Skolfastigheter). We therefore think the city's debt-to-revenue ratio will remain fairly stable through 2025.

### Primary contact

### Erik A Karlsson

Stockholm 46-8-440-5924 erik.karlsson @spglobal.com

### Secondary contact

### **Carl Nyrerod**

Stockholm 46-8-440-5919 carl.nyrerod @spglobal.com

### Analytical group contact

### **EMEA Sovereign and IPF**

SovereignIPF @spglobal.com

That said, capex is set to increase on investments related to public transportation beyond 2025. These projects, which include a tramway network, will intensify from 2026 and likely result in wider deficits after capital accounts and higher debt levels.

## Outlook

The positive outlook reflects our view that Uppsala could contain macroeconomic pressures and large capex needs such that its budgetary performance persistently improves beyond our current expectations. The outlook also reflects our view that the city will further sharpen its control of the budget, and those of its companies, which could lead us to reassess our view on management.

### Downside scenario

We would revise the outlook to stable if Uppsala's budgetary performance doesn't improve, reflected in operating balances persistently below 5% of revenue, due to a failure to contain spending. We could also revise the outlook to stable if management displays less prudence in its steering and oversight of the city's and its companies' finances.

### Upside scenario

We could raise the ratings within the next 12 months if Uppsala demonstrates solid budgetary discipline, counterbalancing rising cost pressures and increasing capex, resulting in persistently improved budgetary performance, including strengthened operating performance of above 5% of revenue and contained deficits after capital accounts. We could also consider an upgrade if the city's management strengthens further, illustrated for instance by a tighter grip on Uppsala's and its companies' financial positions.

## Rationale

# Uppsala's wealthy economy and budgetary discipline support its public finance sustainability

We regard the political situation in Uppsala as stable. Since elections last year, the city has been governed by a left-green minority administration, benefitting from passive support from local party Utvecklingspartiet Demokraterna (UP). In recent years, political debate has been focused on the city's expansion, including a large tramway network project. We understand the administration secured support from UP partly by agreeing to a referendum initially targeting the tramway but later watered-down to being about the city expansion in broader terms. The referendum will take place in June 2024 and we don't expect any major policy change as a result. In general, we have observed strong consensus regarding long-term plans, with sound commitment to budgetary discipline at both the managerial and political leadership levels, reflecting a strong track record in this respect. We regard Uppsala's financial management as prudent, and the city adheres to conservative risk policies.

Uppsala's economy is supported by Sweden's comparably high wealth levels, as seen by our estimated 2023 GDP per capita of close to \$56,000. The city is the fourth largest in the country, benefiting from its close integration to the greater Stockholm area, in terms of labor market, for example. This, combined with a well-educated work force, supports relatively high income and unemployment roughly on par with the national average (6.4% in October 2023).

We assess Sweden's institutional framework as extremely predictable and supportive. In our view, the framework displays a high degree of stability, and the sector's revenue and expenditure management are based on a far-reaching equalization system and tax autonomy. Central government support for the sector was displayed, for instance, during the COVID-19

pandemic, where it implemented direct and indirect support packages to boost municipalities' operating performance.

# Infrastructure-related spending will weigh on Uppsala's budgetary performance

We expect somewhat weaker operating performance for Uppsala over the next two years. Strong revenue growth and contained operating expenditure (opex), excluding noncash items such as provisions, will support strong performance in 2023. In 2024, preparation work related to the tramway project will start, totaling almost Swedish krona (SEK)1.6 billion through 2026. In combination with high inflation and rising employee-related costs, we expect this will weigh on Uppsala's operating performance. Balancing this is our expectation that nominal tax revenue growth will remain strong, supported by the resilient Swedish economy, and additional general grants provided to the sector from the state as of 2024, amounting to about SEK160 million for Uppsala. Although we expect Uppsala will post weak accrual-based net performance in 2023-2024, owing to large pension expenditure, its operating cashflow will remain strong (see "Sweden's Local And Regional Governments Have Less Room To Maneuver Over The Next Year As Pension Costs Rise," published Sept. 14, 2023, on RatingsDirect).

Despite a sizeable investment program, we think the city can contain its deficit after capital accounts, at least through 2025, thanks to strong cash generation. Uppsala's own investments are mainly related to the city's expansion, such as local infrastructure and strategic land acquisitions. In 2025, the construction of the tramway network will start, which will gradually increase the city's capex in subsequent years, potentially pushing up its deficit after capital accounts. In addition, we expect the fully owned school property company, Skolfastigheter, will undertake sizeable investments, which we reflect in the city's capital accounts. We estimate the company will borrow SEK700 million on average through 2025. However, we expect its investments will decrease toward the end of our forecast period as the need for new schools has diminished due to less dynamic population growth projections.

In addition to the city (including Skolfastigheter), its subsidiaries carry notable investments, requiring on-lending from the treasury. This is mainly related to housing company Uppsalahem AB and water and waste company Uppsala Vatten & Avfall AB. We note that Uppsalahem focuses its investments mainly on existing assets, because rising build costs make new development currently less viable, which has a dampening effect on its investment levels. On average, we forecast the companies, excluding Skolfastigheter, will borrow close to SEK850 million annually through 2025.

We continue to expect Uppsala's debt-to-revenue ratio will remain stable through 2025. More than 50% of the city's debt has been lent to Uppsalahem and Uppsala Vatten & Avfall. Adjusted for this on-lending, we estimate Uppsala's direct debt at about 45% of revenue. We consider this a mitigating factor in our assessment of the city's debt burden, given the solid business risk profiles and strong financial positions of these companies. Furthermore, we continue to regard the city's contingent liabilities, including its joint and several guarantee to Kommuninvest, as limited.

Although we expect Uppsala's interest payments will increase by more than 3x through 2025 compared to 2022, the impact on the city's budget is manageable. Like other Swedish LRGs, Uppsala has a short-dated debt profile, with an average maturity of 2.39 years at the end of August. However, the entire debt stock has been on-lent to its companies, and we expect higher interest payments will be accompanied by higher interest income as the companies service the debt, mitigating the effect on the city's operating performance. Still, we expect internal companies, such as Skolfastigheter, will manage the rising interest by increasing the city's rents, pushing up Uppsala's opex. We expect companies with commercial revenue, such as Uppsalahem, can absorb increasing interest payments without owner support.

We expect Uppsala's liquidity will remain solid due to its ample contracted facilities and strong access to capital markets. We estimate that available cash and committed bank lines cover

131% of maturing debt over the next 12 months. The city primarily uses committed credit facilities from banks to handle its liquidity needs and reduce refinancing risk. In our calculation, we include close to SEK6.5 billion of cash and committed and available facilities, SEK220 million of expected funding needs for the city, and SEK4.6 billion of maturing debt and interest.

### City of Uppsala Selected Indicators

| Mil. SEK   | 2020     | 2021     | 2022     | 2023bc   | 2024bc   | 2025bc   |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Operating revenue  | 16,147   | 16,814   | 17,788   | 18,574   | 19,567   | 20,560   |
| Operating expenditure                                    | 15,202   | 15,775   | 16,519   | 17,439   | 18,497   | 19,655   |
| Operating balance  | 945      | 1,039    | 1,269    | 1,135    | 1,070    | 905      |
| Operating balance (% of operating revenue)               | 5.9      | 6.2      | 7.1      | 6.1      | 5.5      | 4.4      |
| Capital revenue  | 487      | 718      | 132      | 73       | 176      | 284      |
| Capital expenditure                                      | 841      | 1,298    | 2,197    | 1,618    | 1,937    | 1,926    |
| Balance after capital accounts                           | 591      | 459      | (796)    | (410)    | (692)    | (738)    |
| Balance after capital accounts (% of total revenue)      | 3.6      | 2.6      | (4.4)    | (2.2)    | (3.5)    | (3.5)    |
| Debt repaid  | 2,845    | 3,230    | 4,020    | 4,070    | 4,250    | 3,350    |
| Gross borrowings   | 3,680    | 4,300    | 4,736    | 4,220    | 5,990    | 4,900    |
| Balance after borrowings                                 | 774      | 577      | 46       | (920)    | (5)      | 4        |
| Direct debt (outstanding at year-<br>end)                | 15,750   | 16,820   | 17,100   | 17,250   | 18,990   | 20,540   |
| Direct debt (% of operating revenue)                     | 97.5     | 100.0    | 96.1     | 92.9     | 97.1     | 99.9     |
| Tax-supported debt (outstanding at year-end)             | 16,554   | 17,623   | 17,703   | 17,850   | 19,590   | 21,140   |
| Tax-supported debt (% of consolidated operating revenue) | 90.9     | 92.4     | 87.4     | 82.2     | 86.0     | 88.6     |
| Interest (% of operating revenue)                        | 0.8      | 0.8      | 1.2      | 1.7      | 2.3      | 3.2      |
| Local GDP per capita (\$)                                |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| National GDP per capita (\$)                             | 52,970.2 | 61,633.8 | 56,560.5 | 55,929.3 | 57,607.1 | 62,886.5 |

The data and ratios above result in part from S&P Global Ratings' own calculations, drawing on national as well as international sources, reflecting S&P Global Ratings' independent view on the timeliness, coverage, accuracy, credibility, and usability of available information. The main sources are the financial statements and budgets, as provided by the issuer. bc--Base case reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectations of the most likely scenario. SEK--Swedish krona. \$--U.S. dollar.

### City of Uppsala Ratings Score Snapshot

| Key rating factors         | Scores |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Institutional framework    | 1      |
| Economy                    | 1      |
| Financial management       | 2      |
| Budgetary performance      | 3      |
| Liquidity                  | 1      |
| Debt burden                | 2      |
| Stand-alone credit profile | aa+    |
| Issuer credit rating       | AA+    |
|                            |        |

S&P Global Ratings bases its ratings on non-U.S. local and regional governments (LRGs) on the six main rating factors in this table. In the

### City of Uppsala Ratings Score Snapshot

Key rating factors

Scores

"Methodology For Rating Local And Regional Governments Outside Of The U.S.," published on July 15, 2019, we explain the steps we follow to derive the global scale foreign currency rating on each LRG. The institutional framework is assessed on a six-point scale: 1 is the strongest and 6 the weakest score. Our assessments of economy, financial management, budgetary performance, liquidity, and debt burden are on a five-point scale, with 1 being the strongest score and 5 the weakest.

## **Key Sovereign Statistics**

• Sweden, Oct. 30, 2023

## Related Criteria

- General Criteria: Environmental, Social, And Governance Principles In Credit Ratings, Oct. 10, 2021
- Criteria | Governments | International Public Finance: Methodology For Rating Local And Regional Governments Outside Of The U.S., July 15, 2019
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011

## Related Research

- Sweden's Local And Regional Governments Have Less Room To Maneuver Over The Next Year As Pension Costs Rise, Sept. 14, 2023
- Institutional Framework Assessments For Local And Regional Governments Outside Of The U.S., March 22, 2023
- Most Rated Swedish LRGs Can Absorb Higher Interest Costs, Sept. 12, 2022
- Southern Swedish LRGs Bear The Brunt Of Surging Electricity Prices, July 20, 2022
- Swedish Local Governments Are Holding Up Against Cyber Attacks, Jan. 26, 2022
- Swedish Municipalities And Counties, Dec. 13, 2021

In accordance with our relevant policies and procedures, the Rating Committee was composed of analysts that are qualified to vote in the committee, with sufficient experience to convey the appropriate level of knowledge and understanding of the methodology applicable (see 'Related Criteria And Research'). At the onset of the committee, the chair confirmed that the information provided to the Rating Committee by the primary analyst had been distributed in a timely manner and was sufficient for Committee members to make an informed decision.

After the primary analyst gave opening remarks and explained the recommendation, the Committee discussed key rating factors and critical issues in accordance with the relevant

criteria. Qualitative and quantitative risk factors were considered and discussed, looking at track-record and forecasts.

The committee's assessment of the key rating factors is reflected in the Ratings Score Snapshot above.

The chair ensured every voting member was given the opportunity to articulate his/her opinion. The chair or designee reviewed the draft report to ensure consistency with the Committee decision. The views and the decision of the rating committee are summarized in the above rationale and outlook. The weighting of all rating factors is described in the methodology used in this rating action (see 'Related Criteria And Research').

### Ratings Detail (as of November 22, 2023)\*

### Uppsala (City of)

 Issuer Credit Rating
 AA+/Positive/A-1+

 Nordic Regional Scale
 --/--/K-1

 Commercial Paper
 A-1+

 Local Currency
 A-1+

 Senior Unsecured
 AA+

#### **Issuer Credit Ratings History**

 25-Nov-2022
 AA+/Positive/A-1+

 06-Dec-2013
 AA+/Stable/A-1+

 02-Dec-2011
 AA+/Positive/A-1+

 31-Dec-1998
 Nordic Regional Scale
 --/--/K-1

\*Unless otherwise noted, all ratings in this report are global scale ratings. S&P Global Ratings credit ratings on the global scale are comparable across countries. S&P Global Ratings credit ratings on a national scale are relative to obligors or obligations within that specific country. Issue and debt ratings could include debt guaranteed by another entity, and rated debt that an entity guarantees.

Copyright © 2023 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.